

FURTHER SUBMISSIONS BY POWERCO LIMITED ON THE PROPOSED PORIRUA DISTRICT PLAN

То:	Proposed District Plan
	Environment and City Planning
	Porirua City Council
	PO Box 50-218
	Porirua City
	Email: dpreview@poriruacity.govt.nz
From:	Powerco Limited (" Powerco ")
	Private Bag 2061
	New Plymouth
	(Note that this is not the address for service.)

- 1. This is a further submission by Powerco Limited on the Proposed Porirua District Plan.
- 2. Powerco has an interest in the Proposed Porirua District Plan greater than the interest of the general public as it owns and operates the gas distribution network which is located throughout the wider Porirua District.
- 3. Details of the submitters, the particular submission points that Powerco supports or opposes and reasons are set out in Schedule 1.
- 4. Powerco wishes to be heard in support of these further submissions.
- 5. If others make similar submissions, Powerco would be prepared to consider presenting a joint case at any hearing.



Dated at Tauranga this 11th day of May 2021.

Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of Powerco Limited:

wheld.

Gary Scholfield Environmental Planner

ADDRESS FOR SERVICE:

Powerco Limited PO Box 13 075 Tauranga 3141 Attention: Gary Scholfield Phone: 07 928 5659 Email: planning@powerco.co.nz



Schedule 1 – Further submissions by Powerco

The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Porirua District Plan that this further submission relates to:

Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
60.40	Transpower	INF-P10	Support	A policy is required to recognise the	Accept the relief sought.
	Limited			benefits of new technology in	
				infrastructure (such as innovation in	
				meters). It is therefore appropriate	
				that the policy be retained.	
60.127	Transpower	Introduction	Support	It is appropriate that the introduction of	Accept the relief sought.
	Limited			the Infrastructure Chapter is retained	
				to ensure clarity of the relationship of	
				the infrastructure chapter to other	
				chapters, and by provision of relevant	
				objectives, policies and methods	
				relating to infrastructure in one section	
				of the proposed district plan.	
81.251	Kainga Ora	INF-P5.6	Oppose	Subdivision of land can lead to a	Reject the relief sought
		INF-P5.7		number of constraints being imposed	
				on existing infrastructure: earthworks	
				can increase or decrease cover over	
				assets; inappropriate landscaping can	
				be installed over or near assets;	
				reverse sensitivity effects can be	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				created when incompatible activities	
				are enabled; and restrictions can be	
				imposed on access to assets. It is	
				appropriate that these types of effects	
				are considered at the subdivision	
				stage.	
81.254	Kainga Ora	INF-P8	Support	It is not always possible to install	Accept the relief sought.
				infrastructure that is compatible with	
				the anticipated character and amenity	
				value of the zone that it is located in.	
				Infrastructure by its nature cannot	
				always be compatible with the planned	
				urban form and environment.	
81.262	Kainga Ora	INF-P16	Oppose	It is appropriate to retain policy 16 that	Reject the relief sought.
				enables the use of roads as	
				infrastructure corridors in accordance	
				with the National Code of Practice for	
				Utility Operators' Access to Transport	
				Corridors 2019, being a legislative	
				requirement under the Utilities Access	
				Act 2010.	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
85.13	Wellington	Introduction	Support	Amendment to introduction to provide	Accept the relief sought.
	Electricity Lines			that infrastructure is a necessary,	
	Limited			unavoidable and normal part of urban	
				and rural environments is needed. It is	
				appropriate that key messages are	
				presented clearly and consistently	
				within the district plan.	
216.47	Queen Elizabeth	INF-R5	Oppose	At times new infrastructure may be	Reject the relief sought.
	the Second			required to be installed within a	
	National Trust			wetland or within the setback of a	
	(QEII)			wetland. Consistent with the NES for	
				Freshwater Management, new	
				infrastructure to be located within a	
				wetland or a setback of a wetland	
				should remain as a discretionary	
				activity.	
225.30	Royal Forest and	General	Oppose	Network utility infrastructure is located	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			wherever a customer chooses to	
	Society			locate. At times, network utility	
				infrastructure needs to be located	
				within or traverse through areas	
				subject to overlays, contaminated land	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				and hazards. It is therefore	
				appropriate that a standalone network	
				utility chapter has been developed that	
				can be used by all network utility	
				providers.	
				It is not appropriate to amend the	
				infrastructure chapter by restricting it	
				to apply only to Regionally Significant	
				Infrastructure. The infrastructure	
				chapter is not incompatible with the	
				Council's s6(c) RMA obligations.	
225.31	Royal Forest and	General	Oppose	The submission seeks to split up the	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			infrastructure chapter into 'Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure' and	
				'infrastructure'. Distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
				Having separate chapters for	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	
				and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
225.69	Royal Forest and	Definition –	Oppose	The definition put forward by the	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection	Regionally		submitter is not appropriate as the	
	Society	Significant		substitute definition does not include	
		Infrastructure		gas distribution infrastructure. This is	
				inconsistent with the definition of	
				Regionally Significant Infrastructure	
				contained within the Wellington	
				Regional Policy Statement which the	
				District Plan must give effect to.	
225.102	Royal Forest and	General	Oppose	Network utility infrastructure is located	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			wherever a customer chooses to	
	Society			locate. At times, network utility	
				infrastructure needs to be located	
				within or traverse through areas	
				subject to overlays, contaminated land	
				and hazards. It is therefore	
				appropriate that a high use standalone	
				network utility chapter has been	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				developed that can be used by all	
				network utility providers.	
				It is not appropriate to amend the	
				infrastructure chapter by restricting it	
				to apply only to Regionally Significant	
				Infrastructure.	
225.103	Royal Forest and	INF-O1	Oppose	The additional text the submitter seeks	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			'in appropriate locations' is very	
	Society			subjective. An objective should be	
				positively worded and clear enough to	
				provide targets that policies seek to	
				achieve.	
225.104	Royal Forest and	INF-O3	Oppose	The submission seeks to alter the	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			infrastructure chapter so it only applies	
	Society			to 'Regionally Significant	
				Infrastructure' . Distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				dealt with the same chapter, as	
				'infrastructure'.	
225.106	Royal Forest and	INF-05	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
225.108	Royal Forest and	INF-P2	Oppose	The submitter seeks the deletion of	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			'other infrastructure'. The submission	
	Society			seeks to split up the infrastructure	
				chapter into 'Regionally Significant	
				Infrastructure' and 'infrastructure'.	
				Distribution networks need to be	
				everywhere that a customer chooses	
				to locate. Even a single connection	
				can be regionally significant (e.g. to a	
				hospital). All network utility	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				infrastructure should be dealt the	
				same, as 'infrastructure'. Having	
				separate chapters for 'Regionally	
				Significant Infrastructure' and	
				'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
225.109	Royal Forest and	INF-P3	Oppose	An enabling infrastructure policy for	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			planned future growth is required. No	
	Society			future growth can occur without	
				provision of safe, efficient, integrated,	
				accessible and available	
				infrastructure.	
225.110	Royal Forest and	INF-P4	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
-		-		Having separate chapters for	
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	
				and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
225.113	Royal Forest and	INF-P8	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
				Having separate chapters for	
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	
				and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported. The changes sought in	
				INF-P1A and 7 are also not supported	
				- the use of terms such as 'protected'	
				and 'avoid' can be problematic for	
				lineal network utilities which often pass	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				through a variety of different	
				environments	
225.114	Royal Forest and	INF-P9	Oppose	The submitter wants Policy 9 to be	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			deleted, however recognising	
	Society			operational and functional needs of	
				infrastructure is an important aspect of	
				decision making – as lineal	
				infrastructure can often pass through a	
				variety of different environments. It is	
				important that the matters are	
				appropriately considered.	
225.119	Royal Forest and	INF-P17	Oppose	Network utility infrastructure is located	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			wherever a customer chooses to	
	Society			locate. At times, network utility	
				infrastructure needs to be located	
				within or traverse through areas	
				subject to overlays (including on or	
				within heritage items, heritage settings	
				and historic heritage sites, or sites or	
				areas of significance to Maori),	
				contaminated land and hazards. At	
				times historic heritage houses will	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				need to connect to distribution	
				networks (electricity or gas), and	
				therefore provisions should not be too	
				onerous to the owner or network	
				provider for essential service	
				connections.	
225.121	Royal Forest and	INF-P19	Oppose	Trees (especially within road reserve)	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			adversely impact network utilities	
	Society			operation, maintenance and	
				upgrading, and installation. An	
				enabling infrastructure policy is	
				required for network utility operators	
				so efficient, effective and resilient	
				infrastructure can be installed when	
				required, and maintained and	
				upgraded.	
225.122	Royal Forest and	INF-P20	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
				Having separate chapters for	
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	
				and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
				At times infrastructure will be required	
				to be located within or traverse	
				through overlays, such as SNAs, to	
				connect customers. Accordingly,	
				policy 20 should be retained as	
				drafted.	
225.123	Royal Forest and	INF-P21	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate. The submission seeks	
				to split up the infrastructure chapter	
				into 'Regionally Significant	
				Infrastructure' and 'infrastructure'.	
				Distribution networks need to be	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				everywhere that a customer chooses	
				to locate. Even a single connection	
				can be regionally significant (e.g. to a	
				hospital). All network utility	
				infrastructure should be dealt the	
				same, as 'infrastructure'. Having	
				separate chapters for 'Regionally	
				Significant Infrastructure' and	
				'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
225.124	Royal Forest and	INF-P22	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
				Having separate chapters for	
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
-		•		and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
				At times infrastructure will be required	
				to be located within or traverse	
				through overlays, such as outstanding	
				natural features and landscapes, to	
				connect customers. Accordingly,	
				policy 22 should be retained as	
				drafted.	
225.125	Royal Forest and	INF-P23	Oppose	The submitter wants the infrastructure	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			chapter specific to Regionally	
	Society			Significant Infrastructure. This is	
				inappropriate as distribution networks	
				need to be everywhere that a	
				customer chooses to locate. Even a	
				single connection can be regionally	
				significant (e.g. to a hospital). All	
				network utility infrastructure should be	
				dealt with the same, as 'infrastructure'.	
				Having separate chapters for	
				'Regionally Significant Infrastructure'	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				and 'infrastructure' is therefore not	
				supported.	
				At times infrastructure will be required	
				to be located within or traverse	
				through overlays, such as the natural	
				hazard overlays. Accordingly, policy	
				23 should be retained as drafted.	
225.126	Royal Forest and	INF-P26	Oppose	The submitter wants this signage	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			policy specific to Regionally Significant	
	Society			Infrastructure. This is inappropriate as	
				all infrastructure providers should be	
				able to utilise appropriate signage	
				when required for construction,	
				operation, maintenance and repair, or	
				upgrading of infrastructure.	
225.127	Royal Forest and	General	Oppose	It is appropriate that the introduction of	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			the Infrastructure Chapter is retained	
	Society			to ensure clarity of the relationship of	
				the infrastructure chapter to other	
				chapters, and by provision of relevant	
				objectives, policies and methods	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
				relating to infrastructure in one section	
				of the proposed district plan.	
225.128	Royal Forest and	INF-R3	Oppose	This rule should not be specific to	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			Regionally Significant Infrastructure,	
	Society			but be retained to be applicable to all	
				infrastructure.	
				It is not appropriate for the submitter to	
				seek a 15m setback from wetlands	
				when the NES for Freshwater	
				Management has a 10m setback.	
				Similarly, it is not appropriate that	
				activities within the wetland or setback	
				are a non-complying activity when the	
				NES for Freshwater Management	
				provides this as a discretionary	
				activity.	
225.129	Royal Forest and	INF-R4	Oppose	This rule should not be specific to	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			Regionally Significant Infrastructure,	
	Society			but be retained to be applicable to all	
				infrastructure.	
				It is not appropriate for the submitter to	
				seek a 15m setback from wetlands	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
		•		when the NES for Freshwater	
				Management has a 10m setback.	
				Similarly, it is not appropriate that	
				activities within the wetland or the	
				setback are a non-complying activity	
				when the NES for Freshwater	
				Management provides this as a	
				discretionary activity.	
225.130	Royal Forest and	INF-R5	Oppose	This rule should not be specific to	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			Regionally Significant Infrastructure,	
	Society			but be retained to be applicable to all	
				infrastructure.	
				It is not appropriate for the submitter to	
				seek a 15m setback from wetlands	
				when the NES for Freshwater	
				Management has a 10m setback.	
				Similarly, it is not appropriate that	
				activities within the wetland or the	
				setback are a non-complying activity	
				when the NES for Freshwater	
				Management provides this as a	
				discretionary activity.	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
225.131	Royal Forest and	INF-R7	Oppose	It is not appropriate that this rule	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			should be amended to also provide for	
	Society			effects on indigenous biological	
				diversity when it is drafted to provide	
				for Special Amenity Landscapes and	
				Coastal High Natural Character Areas.	
				Retain this rule as notified.	
225.132	Royal Forest and	INF-R8	Oppose	It is not appropriate that this rule be	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			amended to also provide for effects on	
	Society			indigenous biological diversity when it	
				is drafted to provide for the Natural	
				Hazard Overlay and the Coastal	
				Hazard Overlay. Retain this rule as	
				notified.	
225.139	Royal Forest and	INF-R39	Oppose	The submitter seeks discretionary	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			activity status for upgrading of	
	Society			infrastructure excluding roads and	
				walkways, cycleways and shared	
				paths, located in an area identified as	
				a Significant Natural Area. The	
				requirement for an ecological	
				assessment provided by a qualified	



Submission point number	Name of submitter	Plan provision	Support or Oppose	Reason	Decision sought
-		-		and experienced ecologist identifying	
				the biodiversity values and potential	
				impacts from the proposal is	
				satisfactory to retain a restricted	
				discretionary activity status.	
225.141	Royal Forest and	INF-R43	Oppose	The rule is intended to apply to	Reject the relief sought.
	Bird Protection			infrastructure that hasn't been	
	Society			provided for in another rule elsewhere.	
				The submitter seeks non-complying	
				activity status for infrastructure	
				including any ancillary access tracks in	
				a Significant Natural Area which is	
				inappropriate. The requirement for an	
				ecological assessment provided by a	
				qualified and experienced ecologist	
				identifying the biodiversity values and	
				potential impacts from the proposal is	
				satisfactory to retain a discretionary	
				activity status.	

